



On ordered Γ -semihypergroups Containing Two-sided Bases

Wichayaporn Jantan, Chanon Budpan and Weeradon Loesna*

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Buriram Rajabhat University, Buriram, 31000, Thailand

* Corresponding author. E-mail address: wichayaporn.jan@bru.ac.th and weeradon.loesna@gmail.com

Received: 25 August 2020; Revised: 18 December 2020; Accepted: 24 December 2020; Available online: 8 February 2021

Abstract

The main purpose of this paper is to study the concept of an ordered Γ -semihypergroup containing two-sided bases that are studied analogously to the concept of Γ -semigroup containing two-sided bases considered by Thawat Changpas and Pisit Kummoon in 2018. We introduce the notion of an ordered Γ -semihypergroup containing two-sided bases and describe some property of an ordered Γ -semihypergroup containing two-sided bases.

Keywords: ordered Γ -semihypergroup, two-sided bases, Γ -hyperideal

Introduction

In 1986, M. K. Sen and N. K. Saha (Sen & Saha, 1986). defined the notion of Γ -semigroup as a generalization of a semigroup. Also in (Fabrici, 1975). I. Fabrici has introduced and studied the concept of two-sided bases of semigroups. The notion and result of two-sided bases of semigroups have been extended to Γ -semigroup containing two-sided bases by T. Changphas and P. Kummoon (Changphas & Kummoon, 2018). The purpose of this paper is to introduce the concept of an ordered Γ -semihypergroup containing two-sided bases which extends from the concept of Γ -semigroup containing two-sided bases.

Hyperstructures represent a natural extension of classical algebraic structures and they were introduced by a French mathematician F. Marty (Marty, 1934). Algebraic hyperstructures are a suitable generalization of classical algebraic structures. In a classical algebraic structure, the composition of two elements is an element, while in an algebraic hyperstructure, the composition of two elements is a set.

Let H be a non-empty subset. Then the map $\circ : H \times H \rightarrow P^*(H)$ is called a hyperoperation, where $P^*(H)$ is the family of non-empty subset of H . (H, \circ) is called a semihypergroup if for every $x, y, z \in H$, we have $x \circ (y \circ z) = (x \circ y) \circ z$. If for every $x \in H$, $x \circ H = H = H \circ x$, then (H, \circ) is called a hypergroup. In the above definition, if A and B are two non-empty subsets of H and $x \in H$, then we define $A \circ B = \bigcup_{a \in A, b \in B} a \circ b$, $x \circ A = \{x\} \circ A$ and $A \circ x = A \circ \{x\}$.

Preliminaries

In this section, we give some definitions that will be used in this paper.

Definition 1. (Davvaz, Dehkordi & Heidari, 2010). Let H and Γ be two non-empty sets. H is called a Γ -semihypergroup if every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ is a hyperoperation on H , $x\gamma y \subseteq H$ for every $x, y \in H$, and for every



$\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ and $x, y, z \in H$ we have $x\alpha(y\beta z) = (x\alpha y)\beta z$. Let A and B be two non-empty subsets of H and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. We define $A\gamma B = \bigcup_{a \in A, b \in B} a\gamma b$ and $A\Gamma B = \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} A\gamma B$.

Definition 2. (Davvaz & Omid, 2017). (H, Γ, \leq) is called an ordered Γ -semihypergroup if (H, Γ) is a Γ -semihypergroup and (H, \leq) is a partially ordered set such that for any $x, y, z \in H$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $x \leq y$ implies $z\gamma x \leq z\gamma y$ and $x\gamma z \leq y\gamma z$.

Here, if A and B are two non-empty subsets of H , then we say that $A \leq B$ if for every $a \in A$ there exists $b \in B$ such that $a \leq b$.

Definition 3. (Kondo & Lekkoksung, 2013). A nonempty subset A of an ordered Γ -semihypergroup (H, Γ, \leq) is called a sub Γ -semihypergroup of H if $A\Gamma A \subseteq A$.

Definition 4. (Kondo & Lekkoksung, 2013). A nonempty subset A of an ordered Γ -semihypergroup (H, Γ, \leq) is called a left (resp. right) Γ -hyperideal of H if $H\Gamma A \subseteq A$ (resp. $A\Gamma H \subseteq A$) and $a \in A, b \leq a$ for $b \in H$ implies $b \in A$. A is called a two-side Γ -hyperideal (or simply called a Γ -hyperideal) of H if A is both a left and a right hyperideal of H .

Definition 5. (Davvaz & Omid, 2017). Let K be a non-empty subset of an ordered Γ -semihypergroup (H, Γ, \leq) . We define $[K] := \{x \in H \mid x \leq k \text{ for some } k \in K\}$. For $K = \{k\}$, we write $[k]$ instead of $(\{k\})$. If A and B are non-empty subsets of H , then we have

- (1) $A \subseteq [A]$;
- (2) $(([A]) = [A])$;
- (3) If $A \subseteq B$, then $[A] \subseteq [B]$;
- (4) $[A]\Gamma[B] \subseteq (A\Gamma B)$;
- (5) $(([A]\Gamma[B]) = (A\Gamma B))$.

Definition 6. (Davvaz & Omid, 2018). A proper Γ -hyperideal M of an ordered Γ -semihypergroup (H, Γ, \leq) ($M \neq H$) is said to be maximal if for any Γ -hyperideal A of $H, M \subseteq A \subseteq H$ implies $M = A$ or $A = H$.

Proposition 7. Let (H, Γ, \leq) be an ordered Γ -semihypergroup and B_i be a Γ -hyperideal of H for each $i \in I$. If $\bigcap_{i \in I} B_i \neq \emptyset$ then $\bigcap_{i \in I} B_i$ is a Γ -hyperideal of H .

Proof. Assume that $\bigcap_{i \in I} B_i \neq \emptyset$. Suppose that $A = \bigcap_{i \in I} B_i \neq \emptyset$. We will show that $\bigcap_{i \in I} B_i$ is a Γ -hyperideal of H . First, we let $a \in H\Gamma A$. We have $a \in h\gamma b_1$ for some $h \in H, \gamma \in \Gamma$ and $b_1 \in A$. Since $b_1 \in A = \bigcap_{i \in I} B_i$, so we obtain $b_1 \in B_i$. For any $i \in I, B_i$ is a Γ -hyperideal. Hence $a \in h\gamma b_1 \subseteq H\Gamma B_i \subseteq B_i$ for all $i \in I$. Thus $a \in \bigcap_{i \in I} B_i = A$. Therefore $H\Gamma A \subseteq A$. Next, we let $a \in A\Gamma H$. We have $a \in b_2\gamma h$ for some $b_2 \in A, \gamma \in \Gamma$ and $h \in H$. Since $b_2 \in A = \bigcap_{i \in I} B_i$, so we obtain $b_2 \in B_i$. For any $i \in I, B_i$ is a Γ -hyperideal. Hence $a \in b_2\gamma h \subseteq B_i\Gamma H \subseteq B_i$ for all $i \in I$. Thus $a \in \bigcap_{i \in I} B_i = A$. Therefore $A\Gamma H \subseteq A$. Finally, we show that, if $a \in \bigcap_{i \in I} B_i$ and $c \in H$ such that $c \leq a$ then $c \in \bigcap_{i \in I} B_i$. Let $a \in \bigcap_{i \in I} B_i$ and $c \in H$ such that

$c \leq a$. Since $a \in \bigcap_{i \in I} B_i$ and B_i is a Γ -hyperideal of H for all $i \in I$, we have $c \in B_i$ for all $i \in I$. Thus $c \in \bigcap_{i \in I} B_i$ for all $i \in I$. Hence $A = \bigcap_{i \in I} B_i$ is a Γ -hyperideal of H .

(Davvaz & Omid, 2017). Let A be a non-empty subset of an ordered Γ -semihypergroup (H, Γ, \leq) . We denote by $I(A)$ is the Γ -hyperideal of H generated by A and $I(A)$ can show in the form of $I(A) = (A \cup H\Gamma A \cup A\Gamma H \cup H\Gamma A\Gamma H)$.

In particular, for an element $a \in H$, we write $I(\{a\})$ by $I(a)$ which is called the principal Γ -hyperideal of H generated by a . Thus, $I(a) = (a \cup H\Gamma a \cup a\Gamma H \cup H\Gamma a\Gamma H)$.

Note that for any $b \in H$, we have that $(H\Gamma b \cup b\Gamma H \cup H\Gamma b\Gamma H)$ is a Γ -hyperideal of H . (Davvaz & Omid, 2017). Finally, if A and B are two Γ -hyperideals of H then the union $A \cup B$ is a Γ -hyperideal of H .

Definition 8. Let (H, Γ, \leq) be an ordered Γ -semihypergroup. A non-empty subset A of H is called a two-sided base of H if it satisfies the following two conditions:

- (i) $H = (A \cup H\Gamma A \cup A\Gamma H \cup H\Gamma A\Gamma H)$;
- (ii) if B is a subset of A such that $H = (B \cup H\Gamma B \cup B\Gamma H \cup H\Gamma B\Gamma H)$, then $B = A$.

Example 9. (Davvaz & Omid, 2017). Let $H = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and $\Gamma = \{\gamma, \beta\}$ be the sets of binary hyperoperations defined as follows.

γ	a	b	c	d	β	a	b	c	d
a	a	$\{a, b\}$	$\{c, d\}$	d	a	a	$\{a, b\}$	$\{c, d\}$	d
b	$\{a, b\}$	b	$\{c, d\}$	d	b	$\{a, b\}$	$\{a, b\}$	$\{c, d\}$	d
c	$\{c, d\}$	$\{c, d\}$	c	d	c	$\{c, d\}$	$\{c, d\}$	c	d
d	d	d	d	d	d	d	d	d	d

$$\leq := \{(a, a), (a, b), (b, b), (c, b), (c, c), (c, d), (d, b), (d, d)\}.$$

In (Davvaz & Omid, 2017). (H, Γ, \leq) is an ordered Γ -semihypergroups. Consider $A_1 = \{a\}$ and $A_2 = \{b\}$, we have A_1 and A_2 are two-sided bases of H . But $A_3 = \{a, b\}$ is not a two-sided base.

Example 10. (Davvaz & Omid, 2018). Let $H = \{e, a, b, c, d\}$ and $\Gamma = \{\gamma, \beta\}$ be the sets of binary hyperoperations defined as follows.

γ	e	a	b	c	d	β	e	a	b	c	d
e	e	e	e	e	e	e	e	e	e	e	e
a	e	$\{a, b\}$	b	b	b	a	e	a	a	a	a
b	e	b	b	b	b	b	e	a	$\{a, b\}$	a	a
c	e	c	c	c	c	c	e	c	c	c	c
d	e	d	d	d	d	d	e	d	d	d	d

$$\leq := \{(a, a), (a, b), (b, b), (c, c), (c, d), (d, d), (e, e)\}.$$



In (Davvaz & Omid, 2018). (H, Γ, \leq) is an ordered Γ -semihypergroups. Consider $A = \{e, b, d\}$ and $B = \{a, b, d\}$, we have A and B are two-sided bases of H . But $C = \{a\}$ is not a two-sided base.

In Example 9. and Example 10., it is observed that two-sided bases of H have same cardinality. This leads a proof in Theorem 4.

Definition 11. Let (H, Γ, \leq) be an ordered Γ -semihypergroup. We define a **quasi-ordering** on H by for any $a, b \in H$,

$$a \preceq_I b \Leftrightarrow I(a) \subseteq I(b).$$

We write $a \prec_I b$ if $a \preceq_I b$ but $a \neq b$. It is clear that, for any a, b in H , $a \leq b$ implies $a \preceq_I b$.

Lemma 12. Let A be a two-sided base of an ordered Γ -semihypergroup (H, Γ, \leq) , and $a, b \in A$. If $a \in (H\Gamma b \cup b\Gamma H \cup H\Gamma b\Gamma H)$, then $a = b$.

Proof. Assume that $a \in (H\Gamma b \cup b\Gamma H \cup H\Gamma b\Gamma H)$, and suppose that $a \neq b$. Let $B = A \setminus \{a\}$. Since $a \neq b, b \in B$. To show that $I(A) \subseteq I(B)$, it suffices to show $A \subseteq I(B)$. Let $x \in A$. If $x \neq a$, then $x \in B$ and so $x \in I(B)$. If $x = a$, then by assumption we have $x = a \in (H\Gamma b \cup b\Gamma H \cup H\Gamma b\Gamma H) \subseteq I(b) \subseteq I(B)$. Thus $H = I(A) \subseteq I(B) \subseteq H$. This is contradiction. Hence $a = b$.

Main Results

In this part the algebraic structure of an ordered Γ -semihypergroup containing two-sided bases will be presented.

Theorem 1. A non-empty subset A of an ordered Γ -semihypergroup (H, Γ, \leq) is a two-sided base of H if and only if A satisfies the following two conditions:

- (i) For any $x \in H$ there exists $a \in A$ such that $x \preceq_I a$;
- (ii) For any $a, b \in A$, if $a \neq b$, then neither $a \preceq_I b$ nor $b \preceq_I a$.

Proof. Assume first that A is a two-sided base of H . Then $I(A) = H$. Let $x \in H$, then $x \in (A \cup H\Gamma A \cup A\Gamma H \cup H\Gamma A\Gamma H)$. Since $x \in (A \cup H\Gamma A \cup A\Gamma H \cup H\Gamma A\Gamma H)$, we have $x \preceq y$ for some $y \in A \cup H\Gamma A \cup A\Gamma H \cup H\Gamma A\Gamma H$. There are four cases to consider :

Case 1: $y \in A$. Since $x \preceq y$, then we have $x \preceq_I y$, where $y \in A$.

Case 2: $y \in H\Gamma A$. Then $y \in h\gamma a$ for some $h \in H$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $a \in A$.

By $y \in h\gamma a \subseteq H\Gamma a \subseteq (a \cup H\Gamma a \cup a\Gamma H \cup H\Gamma a\Gamma H)$, $H\Gamma y \subseteq H\Gamma(H\Gamma a) = (H\Gamma H)\Gamma a \subseteq H\Gamma a \subseteq (a \cup H\Gamma a \cup a\Gamma H \cup H\Gamma a\Gamma H)$, $y\Gamma H \subseteq (H\Gamma a)\Gamma H \subseteq (a \cup H\Gamma a \cup a\Gamma H \cup H\Gamma a\Gamma H)$ and $H\Gamma y\Gamma H \subseteq H\Gamma(H\Gamma a)\Gamma H \subseteq (a \cup H\Gamma a \cup a\Gamma H \cup H\Gamma a\Gamma H)$. Then $y \cup H\Gamma y \cup y\Gamma H \cup H\Gamma y\Gamma H \subseteq (a \cup H\Gamma a \cup a\Gamma H \cup H\Gamma a\Gamma H)$, so $(y \cup H\Gamma y \cup y\Gamma H \cup H\Gamma y\Gamma H) \subseteq (a \cup H\Gamma a \cup a\Gamma H \cup H\Gamma a\Gamma H)$. Thus $I(y) \subseteq I(a)$, i.e., $y \preceq_I a$.

Case 3: $y \in A\Gamma H$. Then $y \in a\gamma h$ for some $h \in H$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $a \in A$.

By $y \in a\gamma h \subseteq a\Gamma H \subseteq (a \cup H\Gamma a \cup a\Gamma H \cup H\Gamma a\Gamma H)$, $H\Gamma y \subseteq H\Gamma(a\Gamma H) \subseteq (a \cup H\Gamma a \cup a\Gamma H \cup H\Gamma a\Gamma H)$, $y\Gamma H \subseteq (a\Gamma H)\Gamma H \subseteq a\Gamma H \subseteq (a \cup H\Gamma a \cup a\Gamma H \cup H\Gamma a\Gamma H)$ and $H\Gamma y\Gamma H \subseteq H\Gamma(H\Gamma a)\Gamma H \subseteq (a \cup H\Gamma a \cup a\Gamma H \cup H\Gamma a\Gamma H)$. Then $y \cup H\Gamma y \cup y\Gamma H \cup H\Gamma y\Gamma H \subseteq (a \cup H\Gamma a \cup a\Gamma H \cup H\Gamma a\Gamma H)$. Hence $(y \cup H\Gamma y \cup y\Gamma H \cup H\Gamma y\Gamma H) \subseteq (a \cup H\Gamma a \cup a\Gamma H \cup H\Gamma a\Gamma H)$. Thus $I(y) \subseteq I(a)$, i.e., $y \preceq_I a$.

Case 4: $y \in H\Gamma A\Gamma H$. Then $y \in h\gamma a_1\beta h_2$ for some $h_1, h_2 \in H$, $\gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$ and $a \in A$.

By $y \in h\gamma a_1\beta h_2 \subseteq H\Gamma a\Gamma H \subseteq (a \cup H\Gamma a \cup a\Gamma H \cup H\Gamma a\Gamma H)$, $H\Gamma y \subseteq H\Gamma(H\Gamma a\Gamma H) \subseteq H\Gamma a\Gamma H \subseteq (a \cup H\Gamma a \cup a\Gamma H \cup H\Gamma a\Gamma H)$, $y\Gamma H \subseteq (H\Gamma a\Gamma H)\Gamma H \subseteq H\Gamma a\Gamma H \subseteq (a \cup H\Gamma a \cup a\Gamma H \cup H\Gamma a\Gamma H)$ and $H\Gamma y\Gamma H \subseteq H\Gamma(H\Gamma a\Gamma H)\Gamma H \subseteq (a \cup H\Gamma a \cup a\Gamma H \cup H\Gamma a\Gamma H)$. Then $y \cup H\Gamma y \cup y\Gamma H \cup H\Gamma y\Gamma H \subseteq (a \cup H\Gamma a \cup a\Gamma H \cup H\Gamma a\Gamma H)$, so $(y \cup H\Gamma y \cup y\Gamma H \cup H\Gamma y\Gamma H) \subseteq (a \cup H\Gamma a \cup a\Gamma H \cup H\Gamma a\Gamma H)$. Thus $I(y) \subseteq I(a)$, i.e., $y \preceq_I a$. Hence condition (i) is true. Let a, b be elements of A such that $a \neq b$. Suppose $a \preceq_I b$. We set $B = A \setminus \{a\}$. Then $b \in B$. Let x be element of H . By (i), there exists c in A such that $x \preceq_I c$. There are two cases to consider. If $c \neq a$, then $c \in B$, thus $I(x) \subseteq I(c) \subseteq I(B)$. Hence $H = I(B)$. This is a contradiction. If $c = a$, then $x \preceq_I a$, hence $x \in I(B)$ since $b \in B$. We have $H = I(B)$. This is a contradiction. The case $b \preceq_I a$ is proved similarly. Thus (ii) true.

Conversely, assume that the conditions (i) and (ii) hold. We will show that A is a two-sided base of H . To show that $H = I(A)$. Let $x \in H$. By (i), there exists $a \in A$ such that $I(x) \subseteq I(a)$. Then $x \in I(x) \subseteq I(a) \subseteq I(A)$. Thus $H \subseteq I(A)$ and $H = I(A)$. It remains to show that A is a minimal subset of H with the property: $H = I(A)$. Suppose that $H = I(B)$ for some $B \subset A$. Since $B \subset A$, there exists $a \in A$ and $a \notin B$. Next we show that $a \notin (B]$. If $a \in (B]$, then $a \leq y$ for some $y \in B$. So we have $a \preceq_I y$. This is a contradiction. Thus $a \notin (B]$. Since $a \in A \subseteq H = I(B)$ and $a \notin (B]$, it follows that $a \in (H\Gamma B \cup B\Gamma H \cup H\Gamma B\Gamma H)$. Since $a \in (H\Gamma B \cup B\Gamma H \cup H\Gamma B\Gamma H)$, we have $a \leq y$ for some $y \in H\Gamma B \cup B\Gamma H \cup H\Gamma B\Gamma H$. There are three cases to consider:

Case 1: $y \in H\Gamma B$. Then $y \in h\gamma b_1$ for some $b_1 \in B, \gamma \in \Gamma$ and $h \in H$. Since $a \leq y$ and $y \in b_1 \cup H\Gamma b_1 \cup b_1\Gamma H \cup H\Gamma b_1\Gamma H$, so $a \in (b_1 \cup H\Gamma b_1 \cup b_1\Gamma H \cup H\Gamma b_1\Gamma H)$. It follows that $I(a) \subseteq I(b_1)$. Hence, $a \preceq_I b_1$. This is a contradiction.

Case 2: $y \in B\Gamma H$. Then $y \in b_2\gamma h$ for some $b_2 \in B, \gamma \in \Gamma$ and $h \in H$. Since $a \leq y$ and $y \in b_2 \cup H\Gamma b_2 \cup b_2\Gamma H \cup H\Gamma b_2\Gamma H$, so $a \in (b_2 \cup H\Gamma b_2 \cup b_2\Gamma H \cup H\Gamma b_2\Gamma H)$. It follows that $I(a) \subseteq I(b_2)$. Hence, $a \preceq_I b_2$. This is a contradiction.

Case 3: $y \in H\Gamma B\Gamma H$. Then $y \in h_1\gamma_1 b_3\gamma_2 h_2$ for some $b_3 \in B, \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$ and $h_1, h_2 \in H$. Since $a \leq y$ and $y \in b_3 \cup H\Gamma b_3 \cup b_3\Gamma H \cup H\Gamma b_3\Gamma H$, so $a \in (b_3 \cup H\Gamma b_3 \cup b_3\Gamma H \cup H\Gamma b_3\Gamma H)$. Thus $I(a) \subseteq I(b_3)$. Hence $a \preceq_I b_3$. This is a contradiction.

Therefore, A is a two-sided base of H as required, and the proof is completed.

Theorem 2. Let A be a two-sided base of an ordered Γ -semihypergroup (H, Γ, \leq) such that $I(a) = I(b)$ for some a in A and b in H . If $a \neq b$, then H contains at least two two-sided base.

Proof. Assume that $a \neq b$. Suppose that $b \in A$. Since $a \preceq_I b$ and $a \neq b$, it follows that $a \in (H\Gamma b \cup b\Gamma H \cup H\Gamma b\Gamma H)$. By Lemma 12., we obtain $a = b$. This is a contradiction. Thus $b \in H \setminus A$. Let $B := (A \setminus \{a\}) \cup \{b\}$. Since $b \in B$, we have $b \notin A$, and $B \not\subseteq A$. Hence $A \neq B$. We will show that B



is a two-sided base of H . To show that B satisfies (i) in Theorem 1., let $x \in H$. Since A is a two-sided base of H , there exists $c \in A$ such that $x \preceq_I c$. If $c \neq a$, then $c \in B$. If $c = a$, then $x \preceq_I a$. Since $a \preceq_I b, x \preceq_I a \preceq_I b$. Then $x \preceq_I b$. To show that B satisfies (ii) in Theorem 1., let $c_1, c_2 \in B$ be such that $c_1 \neq c_2$. We will show that neither $c_1 \preceq_I c_2$ nor $c_2 \preceq_I c_1$. Since $c_1 \in B$ and $c_2 \in B$, we have $c_1 \in A \setminus \{a\}$ or $c_1 = b$ and $c_2 \in A \setminus \{a\}$ or $c_2 = b$. There are four cases to consider:

Case 1: $c_1 \in A \setminus \{a\}$ and $c_2 \in A \setminus \{a\}$. By Theorem 1. (ii), this implies neither $c_1 \preceq_I c_2$ nor $c_2 \preceq_I c_1$.

Case 2: $c_1 \in A \setminus \{a\}$ and $c_2 = b$. If $c_1 \preceq_I c_2$, then $c_1 \preceq_I b$. Since $b \preceq_I a, c_1 \preceq_I b \preceq_I a$. Thus $c_1 \preceq_I a$ where $a, c_1 \in A$. By Theorem 1. (ii), $c_1 = a$. This is a contradiction. If $c_2 \preceq_I c_1$, then $b \preceq_I c_1$. Since $a \preceq_I b, a \preceq_I b \preceq_I c_1$. So $a \preceq_I c_1$ where $a, c_1 \in A$. By Theorem 1. (ii), $a = c_1$. This is a contradiction.

Case 3: $c_2 \in A \setminus \{a\}$ and $c_1 = b$. If $c_1 \preceq_I c_2$, then $b \preceq_I c_2$. Since $a \preceq_I b, a \preceq_I b \preceq_I c_2$. Hence $a \preceq_I c_2$ where $a, c_2 \in A$. By Theorem 1. (ii), $a = c_2$. This is a contradiction. If $c_2 \preceq_I c_1$, then $c_2 \preceq_I b$. Since $b \preceq_I a, c_2 \preceq_I b \preceq_I a$. Thus $c_2 \preceq_I a$ where $a, c_2 \in A$. By Theorem 1. (ii), $c_2 = a$. This is a contradiction.

Case 4: $c_1 = b$ and $c_2 = b$. This is impossible.

Thus B satisfies (i) and (ii) in Theorem 1. Therefore, B is a two-sided base of H .

Corollary 3. Let A be a two-sided base of an ordered Γ -semihypergroup (H, Γ, \leq) , and let $a \in A$. If $I(x) = I(a)$ for some $x \in H, x \neq a$, then x belongs to two-sided base of H , which is different from A .

Theorem 4. Let A and B be any two-sided bases of an ordered Γ -semihypergroup (H, Γ, \leq) . Then A and B have the same cardinality.

Proof. Let $a \in A$. Since B is a two-sided base of H and $a \in H$, by Theorem 1.(i) there exists an element $b \in B$ such that $a \preceq_I b$. Since A is a two-sided base of H , by Theorem 1.(i) there exists $a^* \in A$ such that $b \preceq_I a^*$. So $a \preceq_I b \preceq_I a^*$, i.e., $a \preceq_I a^*$. By Theorem 1.(ii), $a = a^*$. Hence $I(a) = I(b)$.

Define a mapping $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ by $\varphi(a) = b$ for all $a \in A$.

To show that φ is well-defined, let $a_1, a_2 \in A$ be such that $a_1 = a_2, \varphi(a_1) = b_1$ and $\varphi(a_2) = b_2$ for some $b_1, b_2 \in B$. Then $I(a_1) = I(b_1)$ and $I(a_2) = I(b_2)$. Since $a_1 = a_2, I(a_1) = I(a_2)$. Hence $I(a_1) = I(a_2) = I(b_1) = I(b_2)$, i.e., $b_1 \preceq_I b_2$ and $b_2 \preceq_I b_1$. By Theorem 1. (ii), $b_1 = b_2$. Thus $\varphi(a_1) = \varphi(a_2)$. Therefore, φ is well-defined. We will show that φ is one-one. Let $a_1, a_2 \in A$ be such that $\varphi(a_1) = \varphi(a_2)$. Since $\varphi(a_1) = \varphi(a_2), \varphi(a_1) = \varphi(a_2) = b$ for some $b \in B$. So $I(a_2) = I(a_1) = I(b)$. Since $I(a_2) = I(a_1), a_1 \preceq_I a_2$ and $a_2 \preceq_I a_1$. This implies $a_1 = a_2$. Therefore φ is one-one. We will show that φ is onto. Let $b \in B$. Since A is a two-sided base of H , by Theorem 1.(i) there exists an element $a \in A$ such that $b \preceq_I a$. Since B is a two-sided base of H , by Theorem 1.(i) there exists an element $b^* \in B$ such that $a \preceq_I b^*$. So $b \preceq_I a \preceq_I b^*$, i.e., $b \preceq_I b^*$. By Theorem 1. (ii), $b = b^*$. Hence $I(a) = I(b)$. Thus $\varphi(a) = b$. Therefore, φ is onto. This completes the proof.

If a two-sided base A of an ordered Γ -semihypergroup (H, Γ, \leq) is a two-sided Γ -hyperideal of H , then $H = (A \cup H\Gamma A \cup A\Gamma H \cup H\Gamma A\Gamma H) \subseteq (A) = A$. Hence $H = A$. The converse statement is obvious. Then we conclude that.

Remark 5. It is observed that a two-sided base A of an ordered Γ -semihypergroup (H, Γ, \leq) is a two-sided Γ -hyperideal of H if and only if $A = H$.

Theorem 6. Let A be a two-sided base of an ordered Γ -semihypergroup (H, Γ, \leq) . If A is a sub Γ -semihypergroup of H then $A = \{a\}$ with $a \in a\gamma a$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Proof. Assume that A is a sub Γ -semihypergroup H . Let $a, b \in A$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Since A is a sub Γ -semihypergroup of H , $a\gamma b \subseteq A$. Setting $c \in a\gamma b$; thus $c \in H\Gamma b \subseteq H\Gamma b \cup b\Gamma H \cup H\Gamma b\Gamma H \subseteq (H\Gamma b \cup b\Gamma H \cup H\Gamma b\Gamma H)$. By Lemma 12, $c = b$. Similarly, $c \in a\Gamma H \subseteq H\Gamma a \cup a\Gamma H \cup H\Gamma a\Gamma H \subseteq (H\Gamma a \cup a\Gamma H \cup H\Gamma a\Gamma H)$ By Lemma 12., $c = a$. We have $a = b$. Therefore, $A = \{a\}$ with $a \in a\gamma a$ for all $a \in A$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

In Example 9., we have $A_2 = \{b\}$ is a two-sided base of an ordered Γ -semihypergroup H , such that $b \in b\gamma b$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. But $A_2 = \{b\}$ is not a sub Γ -semihypergroup of H . This shows that the converse statement is not valid in general.

Theorem 7. Let (H, Γ, \leq) be an ordered Γ -semihypergroup and let T be an union of all two-sided bases of H . Then $H \setminus T$ is either empty set or a Γ -hyperideal of H .

Proof. Assume that $H \setminus T \neq \emptyset$. We will show that $H \setminus T$ is a Γ -hyperideal of H . Let $a \in H \setminus T$, $x \in H$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. To show that $x\gamma a \subseteq H \setminus T$ and $a\gamma x \subseteq H \setminus T$, we suppose that $x\gamma a \not\subseteq H \setminus T$. Then there exists $b \in x\gamma a$ such that $b \in T$. Hence $b \in A$ for some a two-sided base A of H . Then $b \in H\Gamma a$. By $b \in H\Gamma a \subseteq a \cup a\Gamma H \cup H\Gamma a \cup H\Gamma a\Gamma H \subseteq (a \cup a\Gamma H \cup H\Gamma a \cup H\Gamma a\Gamma H) = I(a)$, so $I(b) \subseteq I(a)$. Next, we will show that $I(b) \subset I(a)$. Suppose that $I(b) = I(a)$. Since $a \in H \setminus T$ and $b \in A$, $a \neq b$. Since $I(b) = I(a)$ and Corollary 3., we conclude that $a \in T$. This is a contradiction. Thus $I(b) \subset I(a)$, i.e., $b \prec_I a$. Since A is a two-sided base of H and $a \in H \setminus T$, by Theorem 1.(i) there exists $b_1 \in A$ such that $a \preceq_I b_1$. Since $b \prec_I a \preceq_I b_1$, $b \preceq_I b_1$. This contradicts to the condition (ii) of Theorem 1. Thus $x\gamma a \subseteq H \setminus T$. Similarly, we can show that $a\gamma x \subseteq H \setminus T$. Let $x \in H \setminus T$, $y \in H$ such that $y \leq x$. We will show that $y \in H \setminus T$. Suppose that $y \in T$, then $y \in A$ for some a two-sided base A of H . Since A is a two-sided base of H , by Theorem 1.(i) there exists an element $z \in A$ such that $x \preceq_I z$. Since $y \preceq_I x$ and $x \preceq_I z$, we have $y \preceq_I z$. This is a contradiction. Therefore $y \notin T$ then $y \in H \setminus T$. Hence $H \setminus T$ is a Γ -hyperideal of H .

Theorem 8. Let (H, Γ, \leq) be an ordered Γ -semihypergroup and $\emptyset \neq T \subset H$. If H contains a proper Γ -hyperideal of H containing every proper Γ -hyperideal of H , denoted by M^* , then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) $H \setminus T$ is a maximal proper Γ -hyperideal of H .
- (ii) For every element $a \in T$, $T \subseteq I(a)$;
- (iii) $H \setminus T = M^*$;
- (iv) Every two-sided base of H is a one-element base.

Proof. (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii). Assume that $H \setminus T$ is a maximal proper Γ -hyperideal of H . Let $a \in T$. Suppose that $T \not\subseteq I(a)$. Since $T \not\subseteq I(a)$, there exists $x \in T$ such that $x \notin I(a)$. So $x \notin H \setminus T$. Since $x \notin I(a)$, $x \notin H \setminus T$ and $x \in H$, we have $(H \setminus T) \cup I(a) \subset H$. Thus $(H \setminus T) \cup I(a)$ is a proper Γ -hyperideal of H . Hence $H \setminus T \subset (H \setminus T) \cup I(a)$. This contradicts to the maximality of $H \setminus T$.

Conversely, assume that for every element $a \in T$, $T \subseteq I(a)$. We will show that $H \setminus T$ is a maximal proper Γ -hyperideal of H . Since $a \in T$, $a \notin H \setminus T$. So $H \setminus T \subset H$. Since $T \subset H$, $H \setminus T \neq \emptyset$. By Theorem 7., $H \setminus T$ is a proper Γ -hyperideal of H . Suppose that M is a proper Γ -hyperideal of H such



that $H \setminus T \subset M \subset H$. Since $H \setminus T \subset M$, there exists $x \in M$ such that $x \notin H \setminus T$, i.e., $x \in T$. Then $x \in M \cap T$. So $M \cap T \neq \emptyset$. Let $c \in M \cap T$. Then $c \in M$ and $c \in T$. Since $c \in M$, $H\Gamma c \subseteq H\Gamma M \subseteq M$, $c\Gamma H \subseteq M\Gamma H \subseteq M$ and $H\Gamma c\Gamma H \subseteq H\Gamma M\Gamma H \subseteq M$. Then $I(c) = (c \cup H\Gamma c \cup c\Gamma H \cup H\Gamma c\Gamma H) \subseteq M$. Since $c \in T$, by assumption we have $T \subseteq I(c)$. Hence $H = (H \setminus T) \cup T \subseteq (H \setminus T) \cup I(c) \subseteq M \subset H$. Thus $M = H$. This is a contradiction. Therefore $H \setminus T$ is a maximal proper Γ -hyperideal of H .

(iii) \Leftrightarrow (iv). Assume that $H \setminus T = M^*$. Since $H \setminus T = M^*$, $H \setminus T$ is a maximal proper Γ -hyperideal of H . By (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii), for every $a \in T$, $T \subseteq I(a)$. First, we will show that for every $a \in T$, $H \setminus T \subseteq I(a)$. Suppose that $H \setminus T \not\subseteq I(a)$ for some $a \in T$. Then $I(a) \neq H$. Hence $I(a)$ is a proper Γ -hyperideal of H . Thus $I(a) \subseteq M^* = H \setminus T$. Then $I(a) \subseteq H \setminus T$. Since $a \in I(a)$, $a \in H \setminus T$, i.e., $a \notin T$. This is a contradiction. Thus $H \setminus T \subseteq I(a)$ for every $a \in T$. Since $H \setminus T \subseteq I(a)$ and $T \subseteq I(a)$ for every $a \in T$, it follows that $H = (H \setminus T) \cup T \subseteq I(a) \cup I(a) = I(a) \subseteq H$. So $H = I(a)$ for every $a \in T$. Therefore $\{a\}$ is a two-sided base of H . Let A be a two-sided base of H . We will show that $a = b$ for all $a, b \in A$. Suppose that exist $a, b \in A$ such that $a \neq b$. Since A is a two-sided base of H , $A \subseteq T$. This is $a \in T$. So $H = I(a)$. Since $b \in H = I(a)$ and $b \neq a$, $b \in (H\Gamma a \cup a\Gamma H \cup H\Gamma a\Gamma H)$. By Lemma 12., $a = b$. This is a contradiction. Therefore, every two-sided base of H is a one element base.

Conversely, assume that every two-sided base of H is a one element base. Then $H = I(a)$ for all $a \in T$. We will show that $H \setminus T = M^*$. The statement that $H \setminus T$ is a maximal proper Γ -hyperideal of H follows from the proof (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii). Let M be a Γ -hyperideal of H such that M is not contained in $H \setminus T$. Then $T \cap M \neq \emptyset$. Let $a \in T \cap M$. Hence $a \in T$ and $a \in M$. So $H\Gamma a \subseteq H\Gamma M \subseteq M$, $a\Gamma H \subseteq M\Gamma H \subseteq M$ and $H\Gamma a\Gamma H \subseteq H\Gamma M\Gamma H$. So we have $I(a) = (a \cup H\Gamma a \cup a\Gamma H \cup H\Gamma a\Gamma H) \subseteq M$. Hence $H = I(a) \subseteq M \subseteq H$. Therefore $M = H$.

(i) \Leftrightarrow (iii). Assume that $H \setminus T$ is a maximal proper Γ -hyperideal of H . Next, we will show that $H \setminus T = M^*$. Since $H \setminus T$ is a proper Γ -hyperideal of H , $H \setminus T \subseteq M^* \subset H$. By assumption, $H = M^*$ or $H \setminus T = M^*$. Hence $H \setminus T = M^*$. The converse statement is obvious.

Conclusion and Discussion

In this paper, we prove that a non-empty subset A of an ordered Γ -semihypergroup (H, Γ, \leq) is a two-sided base of H if and only if A satisfies the following two conditions: (i) For any $x \in H$ there exists $a \in A$ such that $x \preceq_I a$; (ii) For any $a, b \in A$, if $a \neq b$, then neither $a \preceq_I b$ nor $b \preceq_I a$. Also we prove that if A and B be any two-sided bases of an ordered Γ -semihypergroup (H, Γ, \leq) , then A and B have same cardinality. Finally, let (H, Γ, \leq) be an ordered Γ -semihypergroup and let T be an union of all two-sided bases of H we prove that $H \setminus T$ is either empty set or a Γ -hyperideal of H .



References

- Changpas, T., & Kummoon, P. (2018). On Γ -semigroups containing two-sided bases. *KKU Science Journal*, 46(1), 154–161. Retrieved from <http://scijournal.kku.ac.th>
- Davvaz, B., Dehkordi, S.O., & Heidari, D. (2010). Γ -semihypergroups and properties. *U.P.B Scientific Bulletin A*, 72(1), 195–208. Retrieved from <https://www.researchgate.net>
- Davvaz, B., & Omid, S. (2017). Bi- Γ -hyperideals and Green's relations in ordered Γ -semihypergroups. *Eurasian Math*, 8(4), 63–73. Retrieved from <http://www.mathnet.ru>
- Davvaz, B., & Omid, S. (2017). Convex ordered Γ -semihypergroups associated to strongly regular relations. *Matematika*, 33(2), 227–240. Retrieved from <https://matematika.utm.my>
- Davvaz, B., & Omid, S. (2017). C- Γ -hyperideal theory in ordered Γ -semihypergroups. *Journal of Mathematical and Fundamental Sciences*, 49(2), 181–192. Retrieved from <http://journals.itb.ac.id>
- Davvaz, B., & Omid, S. (2018). Some characterizations of right weakly prime Γ -hyperideals of ordered Γ -semihypergroups. *Montisnigri Math*, 42, 5–11. Retrieved from <https://www.semanticscholar.org>
- Davvaz, B., & Omid, S. (2018). Some properties of quasi- Γ -hyperideals and hyperfilters in ordered Γ -semihypergroups. *Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics*, 42(2), 223–242. Retrieved from <http://www.seams-bull-math.ynu.edu.cn/index.jsp>
- Marty, F. (1934). *Sur une generalization de la notion de group*. Retrieved from <https://www.scienceopen.com/document?vid=037b45a2-5350-43d4-86e1-39673e906fb5>
- Fabrizi, I. (1975). Two-sided bases of semigroups. *Matematicky casopis*, 25(2), 173–178. Retrieved from <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/126947>
- Kondo, M., & Lekkoksung, N. (2013). On intra-regular Γ -semihypergroups. *International Journal of Math*, 7(25), 1379–1386. Retrieved from <https://www.researchgate.net>
- Sen, M. K., & Saha, N. K. (1986). On Γ -semigroup I. *Bulletin of the Calcutta Mathematical Society*, 78, 180–186.